Parallèles

REVIEW FORM

1. Publication decision

- 0 Paper is not recommended for publication. See comments below.
- 1- Paper is recommended for major revision a second submission followed by further peer reviews is advised.
- 2 Paper is recommended for publication with changes as indicated.
- 3 Paper is recommended for publication in its present form.

2. Additional Criteria

| 1. The author provides a strong rationale for why the study is needed. | | | |
|---|-------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 2. The research questions or hypotheses are clearly articulated. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 3. The manuscript is creative or deals with the subject in a new or novel way. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 4. The manuscript contains a clear synthesis and critical evaluation of relevant sources. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 5. The study is conceptually based and theoretically grounded. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 6. The analyses and research procedures are sound and appropriate. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 7. The findings are interesting and valid. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 8. The implications and conclusions flow from the study's findings. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 9. The author's writing style is appropriate, academic, and clear. | | | |
| Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |

Parallèles

3. Comments for the author(s):



4. Comments for Parallèles Editorial Board –not to be sent to the author(s):