Parallèles – Review form

Guidelines for reviewers/reviewing

We attach great importance to the reviewing process, which aims to ensure the quality of papers published, assist editors in making decisions and help authors improve their research. We kindly request that you keep these objectives in mind when writing your comments.

Please maintain a respectful tone and stick to the following principle: evaluate the product, not the person.

You can write specific comments directly in the Word file, in addition to filling out this review form. If you do so, however, please make sure that the comments appear anonymously.

Thank you very much for your valuable contribution. We really appreciate your support.

1. Publication decision

☐ Paper is not recommended for publication. See comments below.
☐ Paper is recommended for major revision – a second submission followed by further peer reviews is advised.
☐ Paper is recommended for publication with changes as indicated.
☐ Paper is recommended for publication in its present form.

2. Additional Criteria

1. The author provides a strong rationale for why the study is needed.
   ☐ Strongly Agree
   ☐ Agree
   ☐ Disagree
   ☐ Strongly Disagree

2. The research questions or hypotheses are clearly articulated.
   ☐ Strongly Agree
   ☐ Agree
   ☐ Disagree
   ☐ Strongly Disagree
3. The manuscript is creative or deals with the subject in a new or novel way.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

4. The manuscript contains a clear synthesis and critical evaluation of relevant sources.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

5. The study is conceptually based and theoretically grounded.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

6. The analyses and research procedures are sound and appropriate.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

7. The findings are interesting and valid.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

8. The implications and conclusions flow from the study’s findings.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

9. The author’s writing style is appropriate, academic, and clear.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
3. Comments for the author(s):

4. Comments for Parallèles Editorial Board – not to be sent to the author(s):